Oversiew
The Better Care Fund (IJCS) quarterly reporting requirement is set out in the BCF Manning Requirements for 2012/19 which supports the aims of the integration and BCF Polity Framework and the BCF programme jointly led and developed by the national partners Department of Health (DHSC), Miller For Assault, Communities and Local Covernment (MHCLIG), NHS England (NHSS), Local Government Association (LGA), working with the Association Directors of Adult Social Services (ADASS).

- To provide information from local areas on challenges, achievements and support needs in progressing integration and the d
 To foster shared learning from local practice on integration and delivery of BCF plans
 To enable the use of this information for national partners to inform future direction and for local areas to inform delivery in

IECF quarterly reporting is likely to be used by local areas, alonguide any other information to help inform HWW on progress on integration and the IECF is also intended to inform ECF andional partners as well as those responsible for delivering the IECF plans at a local level (including clinical commission groups, local authorities and service provision for the purposes noted above.

BCF quarterly reports are submitted by local areas are required to be signed off by HWBs as the accountable governance body for the BCF locally and these reports are therefore part of the official suite of HWB documents.

unter-unwerten extension aggregated form will be shared with local areas prior to publication in order to support the aforementioned purposes of BCT reporting. In relation to this, the Better Care Support Team (BCST) will make the aggregated BCF quarterly reporting information in entirety available to local areas in a closed forum on the Better Care Exchange (BCE) prior to publication.

For 2018-19, reporting on the additional IBCF Grant (funding amounteed in the 2017 Spring Budget) is included in the ECF quarterly reporting as a combined template to streamline the reporting requirements placed on local systems. The IBCT along with NMSE hosted information infrastructure be collecting and aggregating the IBCF information and providing it to MMCLG. Although collected together, IBCF and IBCF information will be reported and published separating.

Throughout the temple, cell which are open for input have a yellow background and those that are pre-populated have a grey background, as below Data needs inputting in the cell responsibilities (in the pre-populated rate). The pre-populated rate is reproported by the pre-populated rate in the pre-populated rate in the pre-populated rate. The pre-populated rate is reproported by the pre-populated rate in t

the details of each sheet within the template are outlined below.

Including the control of the

The 'sheet completed' cell will update when all 'checker' values for the sheet are green containing the word 'Yes'.

Once the checker column contains all cells marked 'Yes' the 'Incomplete Template' cell (below the title) will change to 'Complete Template'

is section requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm whether the four national conditions detailed in the Integration and Better Care Fund noting requirements for 2017-19 continue to be met through the delivery of your plan. Please confirm as at the time of completion. now://www.empdate.him.ku/www.content/indusedx/1017/101/Pointerations.heter.com/indusedx/1017/Pointerations.heter.com/indusedx/1017/Pointerations.heter.com/indusedx/1017/Pointerations.heter.com/indusedx/1017/Pointerations.heter.com/indusedx/1017/Pointerations.heter.com/indusedx/1017/Pointerations.heter.com/indusedx/1017/Pointerations.heter.com/indusedx/1017/Pointerations.heter.com/indusedx/1017/Pointerations.heter.com/indusedx/1017/Pointerations.heter.com/indusedx/1017/Pointerations.heter.com/indusedx/1

Inter J Invest england nhs ul/w po content/uploads/2017/07/integration better care fund-planning-requirements.pdf
links whet sits out their four conditions and requires the Health & Melleng Board to confirm Yes' or No' that their continues to be met. Should Yes' be
elected, please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the quarter and how this is being addressed. Please note that where
National Condition to not being met. He lively it is expected to constitute their litelet tear Menager.

nummary, the four national conditions are as below:
tional condition 1: A jointhy agreed plan
sea note: This also includes confirming the continued agreement on the jointly agreed plan for DFG sp
tional condition 2: NeS contribution to social care in maintained in line with inflation
and the confirming the contribution to social care in maintained in line with inflation
and the confirming the contribution to social care in maintained in line with inflation
to a confirming the confirming the contribution of the confirming the

Interest Indicates
the Fig ball includes the following floar metrics. Non-Elective Adminisors, Deleyed Transfers of Care, Redeertal Adminisors and Reablement. As part of as EFF ball not 2017-18, planned transfer been agreed for these metrics.

In Exercise of 2017-19, planned transfer been agreed for these metrics.

In Section captures are offered reasons are more meeting these EFF planned transfer for each of the EFF metrics.

In the commentary is requested for each metric outlining the challenges faced in meeting the EFF planned transfer for each of the EFF metrics.

In the commentary is requested for each metric outlining the challenges faced in meeting the EFF transfer. Any sublementer trailised and an opportunit before the commentary is required to the EFF planned to the EFF planned transfer and the EFF planned transfer and the EFF planned transfer and the EFF planned transfer planned to the EFF planned transfer and the EFF planned transfer planned transfer

ease note that the metrics themselves will be referenced (and reported as required) as per the standard national published diassets.

High brasset Chance Model

Bick This and a requires local areas to implement the High impact Change Model (HCM) for Managing Transfers of Care. This section of the
mysides capture a self-assessment on the current level of implementation, and antiopated trajectory in future quarters, of each of the eight HCM
mappes and the ned-sign themsel down with the increposingle implementation challenges, antionents and support exceptional productions.

The maturity levels utilised on the self assessment dropdown selections are based on the guidance available on the published High Impact Changes Model (link below). A distilled explanation of the levels for the purposes of this reporting is included in the key below: Not yet established: "The linklative has not been implemented within the HMW area

Planned - There is a viable plan to implement the initiative / has been partially implemented within some areas of
Established - The initiative has been established within the HWB area but has not yet provided proven benefits / outc
The initiative is well embedded within the HWB area and is meeting some of the objectives set for imp

The initiative is fully functioning, sustainable and providing proven outcomes against the objectives set for impro

In line with the intent of the published HKM model self assessment, the self assessment captured via BEF reporting aims to foster local convelvely identify actions and adjustments to progress implementation, to understand the area's ambition for progress and, to include where implementation of progress arounds the eight changes in an area varies to useful with which contrains the extent observed the other device from the implementation of As this is a self assessment, the appreaches adopted may diverge considerably from area to area and therefore the application of this informat comparative includent of organizes beared ones beare considerable film limitations.

n making the self-assessment, please ensure that a representative range of stakeholders are involved to offer an assessment that is as near enough as cossible to the operational reality of the area. The recommended stakeholders include but are not limited to Better Care Managers, BCF leads from CCG and LAL, local Trust, Care Sector Regional clearly. Als Eleviery Bord representatives, CHAIS and regional AdMSS presentatives.

The HCM maturity assessment (particularly where there are multiple CCGs and A&E Delivery Boards (AEDBs)) may entail making a best judgment acr the AEDB and CCG lenses to indicatively reflect an implementation maturity for the HVM. The AEDB lens is a more representative operational lens to reflect both health and cool ajvatems and where there are wide variations in implementation lends between them, making a conservative advised. Where there are clear disparities in the stage of implementation within an area, the narrative section should be used to briefly indicate this, the rationals for the recorded assessment agreed by local partners.

Please use the 'Challenges' narrative section where your area would like to highlight a preferred approach proposed for making the which could be useful in informing future design considerations.

Where the selected maturity levels for the reported quarter are 'Mature' or 'Exemplany', please provide supporting detail on the features of the initiand the actions implemented that have led to this assessment.

To better understand the spread and impact of Trusted Assessor schemes, when providing the narrative for "Milestones met during the quarter / Observed impact" please consider including the proportion of care homes within the braility participating in Trusted Assessor schemes. Also, any evaluated impacts noted from active Trusted Assessor scheme (as mixed shought discharge delays, reduced hospital Length of Stay for patients awaiting care home placements, reduced care home vacancy rates) would be welcome.

The template alone Celebrator Protocol for the Bed Blag Scheme):

The template alone Celebrator Protocol for the Bed Blag Scheme):

The template alone Celebrator on area's implementation of the optional 'Red Blag' scheme. Delivery of this scheme is not a requirement. Better Care Fund, but whe Bed Templator Protocol Blad Scheme is not a requirement control of the Celebrator of the Celebrator in Indiana. The Celebrator is implementation of a loopist Transfer Protocol Blad Income as the Ted Blag scheme! To enhance communication and information where resident more between care strings and hospital.

That indianal scheme is the Celebrator in Indianal Scheme is the Celebrator in Indianal Scheme is place to support improved communications in hospital stransfer arrangements for scale care residents.

immunications in hospital transfer arrangements for social care residents.

A quick golde has been published:

"Universe immunication that Red Bag / Frogital Transfer Protocols." A quick golde has been published:

"The Published of the Red Bag / Frogital Transfer Protocols." A quick golde has been published:

"The Published is available on the Rehoots system or on request from the NSS fingland Hospital to Home team through england ohus @inhs.net. The

ks the Substant Newson of Care Vanguard — Hospital Transfer Pathway (MR Ball systems is as below:

use/lowew.youtube.com/watch/wc/GTZMcUUE
Stratistics
section captures information to provide the wider content around health and social integration.
are full useful to the progress made locally to the area's vision and plan for integration set out in your BCF narrative plan for 2017-19. This might
used significant milentiones met, any agreed variations to the plan and any challenges.

8. Additional Improved Better Care Fund for 2018-19 the additional IBCF monitoring has been incorporated into the BCF form. Please fill this see BCF quarterly monitoring for your organisation, or geographic area.
for reflect this change, and to align with the BCF, data must now be entered on a HWB level.

1. Cover

rsion	

Please Note:

- The BCF quarterly reports are categorised as 'Management Information' and are planned for publishing in an aggregated form on the NHSE website. **Narrative sections of the reports will not be published.** However as with all information collected and stored by public bodies, all BCF information including any narrative is subject to Freedom of Information requests.
- As noted already, the BCF national partners intend to publish the aggregated national quarterly reporting information on a quarterly basis. At a local level it is for the HWB to decide what information it needs to publish as part of wider local government reporting and transparency requirements. Until BCF information is published, recipients of BCF reporting information (including recipients who access any information placed on the BCE) are prohibited from making this information available on any public domain or providing this information for the purposes of journalism or research without prior consent from the HWB (where it concerns a single HWB) or the BCF national partners for the aggregated information.
- This template is password protected to ensure data integrity and accurate aggregation of collected information. A resubmission may be required if this is breached.

Health and Wellbeing Board:	Nottingham
Completed by:	Clare Rourke
E-mail:	clare.rourke@nhs.net
Contact number:	01158839575
Who signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Wellbeing Board:	Cllr Sam Webster/ Dr Hugh Porter

Question Completion - when all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green you should send the template to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'

Complete		
	Pending Fields	
1. Cover	0	
2. National Conditions & s75 Pooled Budget	0	
3. National Metrics	0	
4. High Impact Change Model	0	
5. Narrative	0	
6. iBCF	0	









<< Link to Guidance tab

1. Cover

	Cell Reference	Checker
Health & Wellbeing Board	C8	Yes
Completed by:	C10	Yes
E-mail:	C12	Yes
Contact number:	C14	Yes
Who signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Wellbeing Board:	C16	Yes

Sheet Complete: Yes

2. National Conditions & s75 Pooled Budget

^^ Link Back to top

	Cell Reference	Checker
1) Plans to be jointly agreed?	C8	Yes
2) Social care from CCG minimum contribution agreed in line with Planning Requirements?	C9	Yes
3) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of hospital services?	C10	Yes
4) Managing transfers of care?	C11	Yes
1) Plans to be jointly agreed? If no please detail	D8	Yes
2) Social care from CCG minimum contribution agreed in line with Planning Requirements? Detail	D9	Yes
3) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of hospital services? If no please detail	D10	Yes
4) Managing transfers of care? If no please detail	D11	Yes

Have the funds been pooled via a	:.75 pooled budget?	C15	Yes
Have the funds been pooled via a	:.75 pooled budget? If no, please detail	D15	Yes
Have the funds been pooled via a	3.75 pooled budget? If no, please indicate when	E15	Yes

Sheet Complete: Yes

3. Metrics ^^ Link Back to top

3. IVIEU ICS	LITIK BACK to top		
		Cell Reference	Checker
NEA Target performance		D11	Yes
Res Admissions Target performance		D12	Yes
Reablement Target performance		D13	Yes
DToC Target performance		D14	Yes
NEA Challenges		E11	Yes
Res Admissions Challenges		E12	Yes
Reablement Challenges		E13	Yes
DToC Challenges		E14	Yes
NEA Achievements		F11	Yes
Res Admissions Achievements		F12	Yes
Reablement Achievements		F13	Yes
DToC Achievements		F14	Yes
NEA Support Needs		G11	Yes
Res Admissions Support Needs		G12	Yes
Reablement Support Needs		G13	Yes
DToC Support Needs		G14	Yes

Sheet Complete: Yes

4. High Impact Change Model

^^ Link Back to top

4. High Impact Change Model	^^ Link Back to top Cell Reference	e Checker
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning Q2 18/19	F12	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow Q2 18/19	F13	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams Q2 18/19	F14	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess Q2 18/19	F15	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service Q2 18/19	F16	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors Q2 18/19	F17	
Chg 7 - Focus on choice Q2 18/19	F17	Yes
0 ,	-	Yes Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes Q2 18/19	F19 F23	
UEC - Red Bag scheme Q2 18/19		Yes
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning Q3 18/19 Plan	G12	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow Q3 18/19 Plan	G13	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams Q3 18/19 Plan	G14	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess Q3 18/19 Plan	G15	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service Q3 18/19 Plan	G16	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors Q3 18/19 Plan	G17	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice Q3 18/19 Plan	G18	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes Q3 18/19 Plan	G19	Yes
UEC - Red Bag scheme Q3 18/19 Plan	G23	Yes
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning Q4 18/19 Plan	H12	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow Q4 18/19 Plan	H13	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams Q4 18/19 Plan	H14	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess Q4 18/19 Plan	H15	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service Q4 18/19 Plan	H16	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors Q4 18/19 Plan	H17	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice Q4 18/19 Plan	H18	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes Q4 18/19 Plan	H19	Yes
UEC - Red Bag scheme Q4 18/19 Plan	H23	Yes
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	l12	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	l13	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/agency discharge teams, if Mature or Exemplary please ex	plain I14	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	115	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	116	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	l17	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	118	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	119	Yes
UEC - Red Bag scheme, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	123	Yes
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning Challenges	J12	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow Challenges	J13	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams Challenges	J14	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess Challenges	J15	Yes

Chg 5 - Seven-day service Challenges	J16	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors Challenges	J17	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice Challenges	J18	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes Challenges	J19	Yes
UEC - Red Bag Scheme Challenges	J23	Yes
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning Additional achievements	K12	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow Additional achievements	K13	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams Additional achievements	K14	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess Additional achievements	K15	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service Additional achievements	K16	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors Additional achievements	K17	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice Additional achievements	K18	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes Additional achievements	K19	Yes
UEC - Red Bag Scheme Additional achievements	K23	Yes
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning Support needs	L12	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow Support needs	L13	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams Support needs	L14	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess Support needs	L15	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service Support needs	L16	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors Support needs	L17	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice Support needs	L18	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes Support needs	L19	Yes
UEC - Red Bag Scheme Support needs	L23	Yes

Sheet Complete: Yes

5. Narrative ^^ Link Back to top

	Cell Reference	Checker
Progress against local plan for integration of health and social care	B8	Yes
Integration success story highlight over the past quarter	B12	Yes

Sheet Complete: Yes

6. iBCF ^^ Link Back to top

	Cell Reference	Checker
1. Average amount paid to external providers for home care in 2017/18	C19	Yes
1. Average amount expected to pay external providers for home care in 2018/19	D19	Yes
1. Uplift if rates not known	E19	Yes
2. Average amount paid for external provider care homes without nursing for clients aged 65+ in 17/18	C20	Yes
2. Average expected pay for external provider care homes without nursing clients aged 65+ in 2018/19	D20	Yes
2. Uplift if rates not known	E20	Yes
3. Average amount paid for external provider care homes with nursing for clients aged 65+ in 2017/18	C21	Yes
3. Average expected to pay for external provider care homes with nursing for clients aged 65+ in 18/19	D21	Yes
3. Uplift if rates not known	E21	Yes

Sheet Complete: Yes

^^ Link Back to top

2. National Conditions & s75 Pooled Budget

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:	Nottingham
ociected i caitii and i cinociii boarai	rrotting.idir

Confirmation of Nation Conditions							
		If the answer is "No" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within					
National Condition	Confirmation	the quarter and how this is being addressed:					
1) Plans to be jointly agreed?							
(This also includes agreement with district councils on use							
of Disabled Facilities Grant in two tier areas)	Yes						
2) Planned contribution to social care from the CCG							
minimum contribution is agreed in line with the Planning							
Requirements?	Yes						
3) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of							
hospital services?							
nospital selvices:	Yes						
4) Managing transfers of care?							
	Yes						

Confirmation of s75 Pooled Budget							
			If the answer to the above is				
		If the answer is "No" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within	'No' please indicate when this				
Statement	Response	the quarter and how this is being addressed:	will happen (DD/MM/YYYY)				
Have the funds been pooled via a s.75 pooled budget?	Yes						

Metrics

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Nottingham

Challenges

Please describe any challenges faced in meeting the planned target

Achievements

Please describe any achievements, impact observed or lessons learnt when considering improvements being pursued for the respective metrics

Support Needs

Please highlight any support that may facilitate or ease the achievements of metric plans

Metric	Definition	Assessment of progress against the planned target for the quarter	Challenges	Achievements	Support Needs
NEA	Reduction in non-elective admissions	Not on track to meet target	September data was not available at the time of reporting. NEA are 6.9% above plan for Q2 as of August. Largely, the increase in admissions is found in same day and short stay at NUH. NEAs for paediatrics (33%), General Surgery (27%), and Respiratory Medicine (14%) are exceeding the agreed contractual plan for Nottingham City CCG at August YTD	N/A	n/a
Res Admissions	Rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000 population (65+)	On track to meet target		Residential admissions data is available for July and August at the time of writing; admissions are green for the year to date and well within the year end target of of 384, YTD at August is 52	n/a
Reablement	Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation services		Nottinghamshire to ensure it is robust.	Reablement data is available for July and August at the time of writing. Reablement is currently above target for Q2 at 93.3% and above target for the YTD	n/a
Delayed Transfers of Care	Delayed Transfers of Care (delayed days)	On track to meet target	n/a	DTOC data for July and August shows that the metric is meeting the target for Q2 to date	n/a

4. High Impact Change Model

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:	Nottingham

Challenges Milestones met during the quarter / Observed Impact Support Needs

Please describe the key challenges faced by your system in the implementation of this change
Please describe the milestones met in the implementation of the change or describe any observed impact of the implemented change
Please indicate any support that may better facilitate or accelerate the implementation of this change

	1		Ma	aturity Assessn	nent		Narrative				
		Q4 17/18	Q1 18/19	Q2 18/19 (Current)	Q3 18/19 (Planned)	Q4 18/19 (Planned)	If 'Mature' or 'Exemplary', please provide	Challenges	Milestones met during the quarter / Observed impact	Support needs	
Chg 1	Early discharge planning	Established	Established	Established	Established	Established		Increased referrals for Pathway 1 has resulted in marked delay in home care packages in Nottingham City (to be reported via the Nottingham City (To Quarterly Submission). Recent empty beds in community bed provision. Action plan in place to optimise capacity. Previous agreement to progress the Lancashire model, but now due to funding this is unable to be progressed at the moment.	- Emergency admissions have a predicated discharge date set within 48hrs of being admitted and are identified as being a "simple" or "supported discharge" 250 supported discharges weekly. Reduced DTOC to lowest number ever, as well as reduced Medically Stable For Discharge 2-24hrs Average length of stay post Medically Stable For Discharge @ 2.2days Joint DTOC coding Standard Operating Procedure agreed across all organisations Multiagency training 'excellence in discharge planning' "trolley dash" education Education events planned with NHS Elect for IDF. Increased referral onto Pathway 1, reduced requirements for Pathway 2 Red bag scheme in operation across the South Front Door Discharge team (12fte) work holistically (trained through Citycare competencies framework) and refer direct to START and Leivers accept "Transfer of Care" form for admission to Leivers - County Social Care Home First Response Service 7 day service to bridge capacity OF Homecare and START - All City citizens are discharged home with NCC Social Care Reablement services to maximise reablement potential. OT services are based within SCR to assess and review and free up homecare capacity across SCR and external provision	Development of the Lancashire model to promote home first further within a safe and effective system. Paper to be presented at A&E Delivery Board as part of the wider funding discussion / requirements to support system flow. Increased capacity for an at home model required to increase the number of people going home and staying at home with support.	
Chg 2	Systems to monitor patient flow	Established	Established	Established	Established	Established		Care home live bed management system recommended to provide real time bed capacity within care homes. Funding stream to be discussed at A&E Delivery Board. The Home First Dashboard is to be reviewed with system partners to ensure it is accurate. Providers are contracted to complete the metrics to ensure the dashboard is meaningful, providing a true picture of system flow for the whole patient journey from admission to discharge.	- Newton Europe review completed. Clinical Utilisation Review -recommendations completed. - Red 2 Green is in place in NUH and across community rehabilitation/reablement providers and monitored monthly. Identifying pathways; simple/supported (1, 2 or 3). - D2A metrics agreed and Dashboard framework in place with early data. - Nerve Centre at NUHT provides partners with the status information on patients that are allocated to them to review. - All supported discharges are triaged daily by health and social care within the Integrated Discharge Team - Nerve Centre provides bed capacity live data to monitor flow - County Social Care have an escalation plan and daily dashboard in place across social care teams within NUHT and wider services such usa START/STIS/Leivers/Homecare - Allows managers to be proactive and flex resources where they are needed. It also provides a framework with clear processes when capacity across these services is full. This allows social care to be proactive when reacting to the Opel status at NUHT - Nottingham City are embedded in the IDT and are able to view all patient flow systems daily, citizens exiting the acute trust then move into social care reablement and this workflow is monitored via out electronic recording system. We have a surge and escalation action plan in place.	Clarity regarding funding is required. D2A development has provided benefits for all system partners, therefore discussion about how all system partners support further developments.	
Chg 3	Multi-disciplinary/multi- agency discharge teams	Established	Established	Established	Established	Established		Challenges to reduce DSTs in hospital to <15%. Progress being made to reduce DSTs in hospital. Work progressing with stroke to reduce the requests for DSTs and mental health patients.	- Integrated Discharge Team across NUHT/Social Care (City/County)/Community health staff formed in October 2017 - 10T are working together to ensure appropriate plans are in place for all 'stranded' and super stranded' patients. - Thrice weekly health and social care meeting to look at top 20 on medically safe to ensure plans for discharge are in place with accountable lead. - Transfer Action Groups within NUH across the Divisions are in place. - Weekly complex patient review meeting with senior system partners to 'unlock' any issues with discharge plans. - Stranded and super stranded senior meeting taking place daily for 2 weeks - 98 patients reviewed, 28 discharged with a length of stay between 20-344 days. - Discussions with stroke services to promote D2A have been positive. Increased referrals for stroke beds since seen.	Education events have resulted in a reduction of DSTs being carried out in the acute environment. Issues identified within mental health as this is still classed as an 'acute environment.' Discussions with the central team have further clarified that patients in Highbury and equivalent facilities are not a sub acute environment, therefore contribute to the 15%. Work planned to develop D2A principles across the Healthcare Trust inpatient beds. Increase in discharge to assess beds from stroke will develop a waiting list. CCG contracts team aware of this and will monitor community bed capacity closely.	
Chg 4	Home first/discharge to assess	Established	Established	Established	Established	Established		Increased demand for home care package as part of home first.	- Weekly supported discharge target has been consistently achieved since October 2017 One single "transfer of care " form agreed by all parties to discharge patients on pathway 2+3 - Home first ethos being embedded and leaflet to embrace home first developed	Discharge policy supported by all organisations. Letters will be generated as part of the discharge policy. Need to ensure the PALS teams are aware to ensure changes are communicated to patients as a result of enacting the discharge policy. Nottingham City home care	

Chg!	i Seven-day service	Plans in place	Plans in place	Established	Established	Established	Workforce change to support 7 day services. Whilst some services are in place to support 7-day working it is recognised there are gaps.	- Provider group working through this to put in place appropriate plans that should come into fruition prior to Winter County Social Zare have a Rots system in place to cover weekend working - Work ongoing to develop 7/7 service for IDT in NUH, however funding required for additional staff to support this. Plan to extend the weekday working until 6pm.	Providing a 7/7 service across the IDF requires additional funding.
Chg (Trusted assessors	Plans in place	Trusted assessor actions for care homes are being led by County Council on behalf of the system	A Trusted Assessor model is progressing as a function within the Integrated Discharge Team at NUHT, with health and social care colleagues developing a set of competencies and a bespoke training package to allow this multidisciplinary team to complete a "Transfer of Care "document to all the relevant information to allow a provider to accept the patient into their care in the community. - Nottinghamshire County Council is also leading on a Trusted Assessor model for Care Homes, where the Nottinghamshire Care Association are recruiting Trusted Assessor to independently assess patients on behalf of care home managers for a six month pilot. Interviews taking place this week.	Trusted assessor actions for care homes are being led by County Council on behalf of the system - CCGs to support development.				
Chg :	' Focus on choice	Established	Established	Plans in place	Established	Established	Support for staff when implementing the discharge policy. Training programme to be agreed with providers to enable staff to enact the Discharge Policy and consistently deliver the same messages about leaving bospital and support required to enact it.	- Connect worker insitu at QMC/City to accept referrals from social care	Training plan in place to implement the discharge policy.
Chg t	Enhancing health in care homes	Established	Established	Plans in place	Established	Established	Enhanced care service to care homes in County, review of service for Nottingham City who decommissioned their enhanced service from 1 April 2018. Need to monitor if any impact, i.e. increased ED activity.		Care homes will receive continued support from their respective CCG leads.

Hospital Transfer Protocol (or the Red Bag scheme)
Please report on implementation of a Hospital Transfer Protocol (also known as the 'Red Bag scheme') to enhance or

		Q4 17/18	Q1 18/19	Q2 18/19 (Planned)	Q3 18/19 (Planned)	Q4 18/19 (Planned)	If there are no plans to implement such a scheme, please provide a narrative on alternative	Challenges	Achievements / Impact	Support needs
UEC	Red Bag scheme	Established	Established	Established	Established	Established		red bags to care homes following the	Red bag scheme rolled out across Greater Nottingham care homes on 02.10.2017. All frail older patient care homes aware and engaging with project. Many using the red bag as well as all the accompanying page work such as CARES escalation record.	Care homes will receive continued support from their respective CCG leads. Further funding for additional care homes being built. Responsibility of repatriation of red bags to be discussed.

5. Narrative

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Nottingham

Remaining Characters:

19,434

Progress against local plan for integration of health and social care

Our latest highlight report (available on request) shows:

- Overall programme status: GREEN
- Performance is good, with 3 of 5 metrics showing green with month 7 activity showing 4 of 5 metrics as green
- 18-19 budgets are now set and agreed via Health and Wellbeing Board
- Newton Europe system report to be available at the end of the month
- The Nottingham City Out of Hospital contract is now mobilised and we continue to work with the provider to indentify new opportunities to integrated services or process to avoid duplication across health and social care

Remaining Characters:

15.194

Integration success story highlight over the past quarter

Carers

In 2016 Nottingham City had a range of carer support services, separately commissioned by Nottingham City Council and NHS Nottingham City CCG and funded through the BCF. The services were individually well-regarded, but lacked overall cohesion. As part of a 2016 strategic commissioning review, carried out jointly by Nottingham City Council and CCG, we identified that there were gaps and duplications in the services, which was confusing to both carers and professionals. New responsibilities from The Care Act 2014 gave local authorities a duty to identify carers, and offer statutory Carers Assessments to all carers - including carers of citizens who are not receiving social care support. Carrying out such assessments through Adult Social Care would be time-intensive, and assessments may focus on the needs of the cared-for citizen rather than the carer.

Nottingham City's model of support

Nottingham City Council and NHS Nottingham City CCG jointly commissioned three services for carers. This included the Nottinghamshire Carers Hub, an integrated service across Nottingham and Nottinghamshire, providing information, advice and support through a single point of contact for carers across

Please tell us about the progress made locally to the area's vision and plan for integration set out in your BCF narrative plan for 2017-19. This might include significant milestones met, any agreed variations to the plan and any challenges.

Please tell us about an integration success story observed over the past quarter highlighting the nature of the service or scheme and the related impact.

6. Additional improved Better Care Fund

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:	
Additional improved Retter Care Fund Allocation for 20	12/1

Nottingham		
£	4,430,143	

These questions cover average fees paid by your local authority (including client contributions) to external care providers.

We are interested only in the average fees actually received by external care providers from local authorities for their own supported clients (including client contributions). The averages should therefore exclude:

-Any amounts that you usually include in reported fee rates but are not paid to care providers e.g. the local authorities' own staff costs in managing the commissioning of places

-Any amounts that are paid from sources other than the local authorities' funding (including client contributions), i.e. you should exclude third party top-ups, NHS Funded Nursing Care and full cost paying clients

The averages will likely need to be calculated from records of payments paid to social care providers and the number of client weeks they relate to, unless you already have suitable management information

This single average should include fees paid under spot and block contracts, fees paid under a dynamic purchasing system, payments for travel time in home care, any allowances for external provider staff training, fees directly commissioned by your local authority and fees commissioned by your local authority as part of a Managed Personal Budget.

If you only have average fees at a more detailed breakdown level than the three service types of home care, 65+ residential and 65+ nursing (e.g. you have the more detailed categories of 65+ residential without dementia, 65+ residential with dementia) please calculate for each of the three service types an average weighted by the proportion of clients that receive each detailed category:

- 1. Take the number of clients receiving the service for each detailed category.
- 2. Divide the number of clients receiving the service for each detailed category (e.g. age 65+ residential without dementia, age 65+ residential with dementia) by the total number of clients receiving the relevant service (e.g. age 65+ residential).
- 3. Multiply the resultant proportions from Step 2 by the corresponding fee paid for each detailed category.
- 4. For each service type, sum the resultant detailed category figures from Step 3.

If you are unable to provide rates for both 2017/18 and 2018/19, please ensure that you provide the estimated percentage change between 2017/18 and 2018/19 in the table below. Please leave any missing data cells as blank e.g. do not attempt to enter '0' or 'N/A'.

	2017/18	2018/19	If rates not yet known, please provide the estimated uplift as a percentage change between 2017/18 and 2018/19
Please provide the average amount that you paid to external providers for home care in 2017/18, and on the same basis, the average amount that you expect to pay in 2018/19. (£ per contact hour, following the exclusions as in the instructions above)	£ 15.52	45.00	
2. Please provide the average amount that you paid for	15.52	f 15.80	
external provider care homes without nursing for clients aged 65+ in 2017/18, and on the same basis, the average amount that you expect to pay in 2018/19. (£ per client per week,			
following the exclusions as in the instructions above)	£ 551	£ 569	
3. Please provide the average amount that you paid for external provider care homes with nursing for clients aged 65+ in 2017/18, and on the same basis, the average amount that you expect to pay in 2018/19. (£ per client per week, following the exclusions in the instructions above)			
A 16	£ 578		
4. If you would like to provide any additional commentary on the fee information provided please do so. Please do not use more than 250 characters.	•	itial / Nursing are for long terr y support reason of Mental He	n placements only and do not ealth or Learning Disability.